

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,551	12/14/2000	Satoshi Kidooka	P20361	6566
7055	7590 09/22/200	i	EXAMINER	
	UM & BERNSTEIN	LAM, ANN Y		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KIDOOKA ET AL.	
	09/735,551		
) 1881) 881) 1811 1885 11181 81181 81181 81181 1181	Long V. Le	Art Unit	
Document Code - AP.PRE	E.DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

	1 100101 11011 01011	1 14444 1511 1431	
This is in response to the	e Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>08/15/06</u> .	
 Improper Req reason(s): 	uest – The Request is improper a	nd a conference will not be held fo	or the following
☐ The request	f Appeal has not been filed concu does not include reasons why a re amendment is included with the Po		quest.
		rom the receipt date of the Notice otice of Appeal has been received.	
held. The application is required to submit brief will be reset to lunning from the rec	remains under appeal because the an appeal brief in accordance with the one month from mailing this descript of the notice of appeal, which dible under 37 CFR 1.136 based to	rferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief co here is at least one actual issue fo h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period f cision, or the balance of the two-m ever is greater. Further, the time p upon the mail date of this decision	r appeal. Applicant for filing an appeal nonth time period eriod for filing of the
Claim(s) allowe Claim(s) object Claim(s) rejecte		laim(s) is as follows:	
	ailed. Prosecution on the merits re	n held. The rejection is withdrawn a mains closed. No further action is	
	ecution – A conference has been No further action is required by a	held. The rejection is withdrawn an applicant at this time.	
	LONG V. LE 04/5/-6 PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600	(3)Larry Helms. SUPE	AARY R. HELMS, PH.D. AVISORY PATENT EXAM

(4)_____.